BEFORE THE COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

CHHATTISGARH

W.P. 26/2001

Nagar Palika Parishad
Dhamtari
Through :
Chief Municipal Officer ... Petitioner.

Versus

(1) Roshan Sharma
S/o Ram Prakash Sharma
Aged 40 years
R/o Shihawa Chowk
Behind : Bank of Baroda
District Dhamtari

(2) Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Durg,
Durg - Chhattisgarh.

(3) State of M.P., Chhatisgarh & 4
Through :
Secretary,
Labour Department,
Chhattisgarh. ... Respondent.

PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF AN APPROPRIATE WRIT IN THE NATURE OF, MANDAMOUS DIRECTION CERTIORARY, PROHIBITION OR THE LIKE.

XI-HC-22

High Court of Chhattisgarh
~~मध्य प्रदेश उच्च न्यायालय, जबलपुर~~

आदेश पत्रक

W.P.No.26/2001
मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 199

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	15.01.2001 Mr. Gautam Bhaduri, learned counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Rajkamal, learned counsel for Respondent No.1. Dr. N.K.Shukla, learned Dy. Advocate General for the State/Respondent No.3. Heard. The matter concerning execution of a sum of Rs.1,93,000/- is pending before the Asstt. Labour Commissioner at Raipur. It is said that the case is fixed today. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that no useful purpose would be served by appearing before the learned Asstt. Labour Commissioner, inasmuch as, the court has already made up its mind to enforce its earlier order. The reply has been filed before the learned Asstt. Labour Commissioner. It appears to me that petitioner should have awaited the final order of the said Labour Court. If he is aggrieved by the order to be passed by the Labour Court, the petitioner may, if, so advised,	

[पीछे देखिये

आदेश पत्रक (पुर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक, आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	(.2.) approach this Court. At this stage, in my view, this writ petition is premature. Accordingly, it is rejected. Sd/- Chief Justice	
DLR/		